

sinfonia: a new paradigm for building scalable distributed systems

marcos k. aguilar	hp labs
arif merchant	hp labs
mehul shah	hp labs
alister veitch	hp labs
christos karamanolis	vmware




motivation

corporate data centers are growing quickly


- companies building large data centers
- 10000s servers and more
- businesses want to serve the world

need distributed applications that scale well

current distributed applications
often involve complex protocols



wouldn't it be nice to
avoid such protocols?



focus

systems within a data center


- network latencies usually small and predictable
- nodes may crash, sometimes all of them
- stable storage may crash too

infrastructure applications

- applications that support other applications
- reliable, fault-tolerant, consistent
- examples: cluster file systems, distributed lock managers, group communication services, distributed name services


our approach to developing distributed applications

- developers use *sinfonia*, a data sharing service
 - data stored in memory nodes, each exporting a linear address space
 - no structure on data imposed by *sinfonia*
 - streamlined minitransactions
- transform problem of protocol design into easier problem of shared data structure design



example application: cluster file system


details later



sinfonia minitransactions

- operate on data at memory nodes
- provide ACID properties
 - atomicity, consistency, isolation, durability
- designed to balance power and efficiency
- efficiency
 - few network roundtrips to execute
- power
 - flexible, general-purpose, easy to understand and use
- result
 - a lightweight, short-lived type of transaction over unstructured data

minitransaction in detail



semantics

- check data indicated by *compare items* (equality comparison)
- if all match then
 retrieve data indicated by *read items*
 modify data indicated by *write items*

example


```
t = new Minitransaction;
t->cmp(hostX, addrX, len, 1);
t->write(hostY, addrY, len, 2);
t->write(hostZ, addrZ, len, 2);
status = t->exec_and_commit();
```

power of minitransactions

examples of what one minitransaction can do

1. atomic swap operation
2. atomic read of many data
3. try to acquire a lease
4. try to acquire multiple leases atomically
5. change data if lease is held
6. validate cache then change data
(e.g., optimistic concurrency control)

minitransaction efficiency: piggybacking execution onto two-phase commit





minitransaction efficiency: running at application node

- commit coordinator runs at application node to save a network roundtrip
- problem: coordinator may crash and not recover application node outside of sinfonia control
- cannot block transactions forever in this case
- 3-phase commit expensive
- solution: a new two-phase commit protocol

new two-phase commit

- transaction committed iff
all participant memory nodes log "yes" vote
compare: transaction committed iff coordinator logs "commit" decision
- but: transaction blocks while memory node is crashed
- recovery and garbage collection more involved (see paper)

■ =value stored at log



other features of sinfonia
not covered in detail in this talk

configurable fault tolerance

cost, performance, resiliency trade offs

memory node replication

can have mirrors of memory nodes for better availability

transactional backups

way to capture a transactionally consistent full image

debugging facilities

transaction log (gc disabled) to review past

using sinfonia: applications

two complex distributed applications

1. sinfoniaFS: cluster file system


- hosts share the same set of files, files stored in sinfonia
- fault tolerant
- scalable: performance improves with more memory nodes

2. sinfoniaGCS:

group communication service [birman, joseph 1987]


- “chat room” for distributed applications
- nodes can join or leave the room, notifications of who joins/leaves
- nodes can broadcast messages to room, messages totally ordered

1. sinfoniaFS design



- exports NFS interface
- each NFS operation: one minitransaction
- general template:
 - validate cache (cmp items)
 - modify data (write items)

2. sinfoniaGCS design



- each member has private queue in sinfonia
- broadcast msg:
 1. copy msg to queue
 2. thread msg in global order
- join or leave:
 1. acquire lease
 2. update member list
 3. release lease

evaluation

sinfonia service

- scalability
- performance under contention
- ease of use
- *paper: benefits of streamlined minitransactions*
(1.4x to 11.1x throughput improvement)


cluster file system application

- performance and scalability

group communication application

- performance and scalability


sinfonia service: scalability



minitransaction
spread: number of
memory nodes in
a minitransaction

minitransaction
spread=2:

usually within
85% of ideal
scalability




minitransaction
spread=all memory
nodes (increases with
system size):
no scalability

sinfonia service: contention

two workloads

- compare-and-swap (cas) – direct minitransaction support
- increment (inc) – requires caching and retrying (optimistic concurrency control)



sinfonia: ease of use

software engineering metrics

	sinfoniaFS	linuxNFS	sinfoniaGCS	spread toolkit
lines of code (language)	3,855 (C++)	5,900 (C)	2,492 (C++)	22,148 (C)
develop time	1 month	unknown	2 months	years
major versions	1	2	1	4


sinfonia: ease of use

- advantages
 - transactions: relief from concurrency, failure issues
 - no distributed protocols, no timeout worries
 - correctness verified by checking minitransactions
 - minitransaction log useful for debugging
- drawbacks
 - address space is low-level abstraction
 - had to lay out data structures manually
 - had to find efficient layout to avoid contention (data structure design problem)


sinfoniaFS: base performance

- first considered 1-memory-node system
- benchmarks
 - modified andrew (tcl source code)
 - connectathon nfs testsuite
- sinfoniaFS performs as well as linuxNFS
(details in paper)

sinfoniaFS: scalability



sinfoniaGCS: scalability



related work

- database systems
- distributed shared memory
 - lots, plurix [fakler et al 2005], perdis [ferreira et al 2000]
- camelot, coda
- mime [chao et al 1992]
- berkeleyDB
- thor [liskov et al 1999]
- sdds [gribble et al 2000]
- boxwood [maccormick et al 2004]
- stasis [sears, brewer 2006]
- gfs, bigtable, chubby, mapreduce [200x]

conclusion

- sinfonia: a scalable data sharing service for building distributed applications
- main characteristics
 - unstructured address spaces: no unnecessary structure
 - streamlined minitransactions
- general paradigm: built very different apps with it
- main benefits
 - minitransactions hide complexities of concurrency and failures (while providing good performance, fault tolerance and scalability)
 - no protocols to worry about